

# A Study on Social Network Sites among the Teaching Communities at Paavai College of Engineering in Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu

S. Sivankalai<sup>1</sup> and P. Chellappandi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chief Librarian, Paavai College of Engineering, Namakkal - 637 018, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Library and Information Science, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai - 625 021, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail: skysivan@yahoo.com, chellappandi.prof@gmail.com

(Received on 22 March 2014 and accepted on 12 June 2014)

**Abstract** – Information communication technology is a various set of scientific implements and it is used to create, communicate, distribute, store and manage data. A computer network consists a set of communication channels interconnecting, a set of computing devices or nodes that can communicate with respectively other users. The development and popularity of social network sites have been created by internet user's community. Presently more than a billion of users in social network sites together to create, collaborate, contribute their knowledge and wisdom. The present study shows that the social network sites are an essential part of ICT era. Analysis of data collected from a sample of 147 faculty at Paavai College of Engineering in Namakkal by administering a questionnaire method reveals that 64.60% of the faculty aware about the social network sites through friends, (56.50%) of the engineering faculty are using SNS always by video uploads and viewing, which is followed by (51.70%) interactions with engineering professionals then academic related usage (43.50%) are the SNS which are comes under seen by very often.

**Keywords:** Social networks site, Internet, Teaching communities, ICT

## I. INTRODUCTION

A social network sites site is a virtual portal, or web service, which induce to structure community relationships among group of persons. It links the state of mind, emotions, sharing information, and other activities of real life situation among people. The service of the social network site consists of a representation of each people, his/ her social links, and a range of additional services. A social network

sites sites are web-based and provide variety of service for users to interact over the internet, i e. E-mail, Instant messaging etc. There are many social network sites are available like, Facebook, Orkut, Twitter, My space, Linked, google+ etc are most popular among them. The learning of social network sites have been an increasing area of study during the last few years.

## II. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To examine the department wise awareness of social network sites among the faculty.
2. To explore the purpose of using social network sites by engineering faculty.
3. To know the usage frequency of social network sites by engineering faculty.
4. To identify the gender-wise friends link in social network sites.
5. To identify the benefit of social network sites in engineering faculty

## III. METHODOLOGY

The present study has been conducted to review the awareness of social network sites of usage among teaching communities. The questionnaire are distributed to the engineering faculty and data have been collected, then the data are combined and it is analyzed for using SPSS. Out of 168 faculty, only 147 engineering faculty are respondent at Paavai College of Engineering, Namakkal, Tamilandu.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS

TABLE I AWARENESS OF SNS AMONG THE FACULTY

Awareness Dept.	Recommended by Friends through email	Guidelines from colleagues	Awareness from conference and seminars	Search based website
CSE	22 (15.00%)	2 (1.40%)	2 (1.40%)	1 (0.70%)
ECE	18 (12.20%)	4 (2.70%)	4 (2.70%)	4 (2.70%)
EEE	8 (5.40%)	9 (6.10%)	1 (0.70%)	0 (0.00%)
MECH	17 (11.60%)	3 (2.00%)	4 (2.70%)	0 (0.00%)
IT	7 (4.80%)	4 (2.70%)	3 (2.00%)	2 (1.40%)
AUTO	14 (9.50%)	3 (2.00%)	1 (0.70%)	1 (0.70%)
CIVIL	9 (6.10%)	1 (0.70%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (2.00%)
Over all	95 (64.60%)	26 (17.70%)	15 (10.20%)	11 (7.50%)

The above table pointed out that 147 faculty respond from all the departments, more than half of the faculty (64.60%) are aware about SNS, through recommended by

friends and email, 17.70% of faculty are given guidelines by their colleagues, 10.20% of the faculty are get aware by conference and seminars and 7.50% of faculty are get aware on search based website.

TABLE II GENDER WISE AGE GROUP RESPONDENTS

Gender	Years	Below 25 years	26 to 30 years	31 to 35 years	36 to 40 years	Above 41 years	Overall
Male		16 (10.90%)	22 (15.00%)	18 (12.20%)	20 (13.60%)	9 (6.10%)	85 (57.80%)
Female		11 (7.50%)	12 (8.20%)	19 (12.90%)	13 (8.80%)	7 (4.80%)	62 (42.20%)
Total		27 (18.40%)	34 (23.10%)	37 (25.20%)	33 (22.40%)	16 (10.90%)	147 (100.00%)

The above table depicts that out of 147 responds more than the half of 57.80 % responds are male and 42.20 % are female, with the age group of highest 31 to 35 respond 25.20 % then the age group of 26 to 30 respond 23.10 %, then the age group of 36 to 40 respond 22.40 % then below age group of 25 respond 18.40 % and 4.86 % responds are within the age group of above 41.

% of female respondents utilize the social network sites. The category of below 2 years is 13.60 percent of male respondents and 8.80 % of the female respondents utilize the social network sites, the category of 3 and 4 years is 9.50 % of male respondents and 6.10 % of the female respondents utilize the social network sites, the category of 5 to 6 years is 4.10 % of male respondents and 4.80 percent of the female respondents utilize the social network sites, the category of more than 7 years is 10.90 % utilize the social network sites.

The genderwise distribution on utilization of social network sites surveyed among the faculty revealed that a majority (30.60 %) of the male respondents and 22.40

TABLE III FREQUENCY OF USING SNS BY ENGINEERING FACULTY

Frequency	Always	Very Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	% Total
Discussions and sharing of new ideas	38 (25.90%)	37 (25.20%)	52 (35.40%)	13 (8.80%)	7 (4.80%)	100.00
Entertainment	54 (36.70%)	55 (37.40%)	17 (11.60%)	5 (3.40%)	16 (10.90%)	100.00
Accessing material from anywhere	27 (18.40%)	51 (34.70%)	36 (24.50%)	6 (4.10%)	27 (18.40%)	100.00
sharing new Innovating	55 (37.40%)	34 (23.10%)	16 (10.90%)	29 (19.70%)	13 (8.80%)	100.00
Academic related usage	14 (9.50%)	64 (43.50%)	39 (26.50%)	15 (10.20%)	15 (10.20%)	100.00
Interactions with Engineering professionals	76 (51.70%)	35 (23.80%)	15 (10.20%)	12 (8.20%)	9 (6.10%)	100.00
Social interactions with others	52 (35.40%)	54 (36.70%)	17 (11.60%)	14 (9.50%)	10 (6.80%)	100.00
Easier bonding between researchers in different countries	53 (36.10%)	40 (27.20%)	23 (15.60%)	27 (18.40%)	4 (2.70%)	100.00
Video Uploads & viewing	83 (56.50%)	28 (19.00%)	11 (7.50%)	16 (10.90%)	9 (6.10%)	100.00

The above table indications that a majority (56.50%) of the engineering faculty are using SNS always by video uploads and viewing, which is followed by (51.70%) interactions with engineering professionals, academic related usage (43.50%) are the SNS which are comes under seen by very often, Entertainment and sharing new innovating (37.40%) are having the places is very often and

always respectively, (36.70%) faculty are sometimes visited the sites for entertainment always and very often they used social interactions with others, (34.70%) of the engineering faculty are using SNS very often for accessing material from anywhere, discussion and sharing new ideas are done by the faculty (35.40%) sometimes using SNS. In some occasions it is preferably comes under (25.90%) always and (25.20%) very often.

TABLE IV USAGE FREQUENCY OF SNS BY ENGINEERING FACULTY

SNS	Daily	Weekly	Fortnightly	Monthly	Never	Total
Blogs	31 (21.08%)	49 (33.30%)	36 (24.50%)	21 (14.30%)	10 (6.80%)	100%
Face book	56 (38.10%)	22 (15.00%)	44 (29.90%)	18 (12.20%)	7 (4.80%)	100%
Friendster	17 (11.60%)	29 (19.70%)	29 (19.70%)	43 (29.30%)	29 (19.70%)	100%
Google+	58 (39.50%)	46 (31.30%)	28 (19.00%)	9 (6.12%)	6 (4.08%)	100%
LinkedIn	68 (46.30%)	24 (16.30%)	20 (13.60%)	18 (12.20%)	17 (11.60%)	100%
MySpace	31 (21.10%)	48 (32.70%)	23 (15.60%)	24 (16.30%)	21 (24.30%)	100%
Ning	21 (14.30%)	35 (23.80%)	32 (21.80%)	36 (24.50%)	23 (15.60%)	100%
Orkut	32 (21.50%)	39 (26.50%)	40 (27.20%)	20 (13.60%)	16 (10.90%)	100%
Twitter	42 (28.60%)	44 (29.90%)	36 (24.50%)	7 (4.80%)	18 (12.20%)	100%
Xanga	14 (9.50%)	20 (13.60%)	22 (15.00%)	23 (15.60%)	68 (46.30%)	100%
YouTube	61 (41.50%)	56 (38.10%)	17 (11.60%)	2 (1.40%)	11 (7.50%)	100%

It is clear from the table that LinkedIn and Xanga are found in the entire engineering faculty’s usage of SNS (46.30%) of the respondents daily and never. which is followed by YouTube (41.50%) daily, Google+ (39.50%) daily, Facebook and YouTube (38.10%) daily and weekly,

Blogs (33.30%) weekly, MySpace (32.70%) weekly, Facebook and Twitter (29.90%) Fortnightly and weekly, Friendster (29.30%) monthly, Twitter (28.60%) weekly, Orkut (27.20%) and (26.50%) Fortnightly and weekly, Blogs, Twitter and Ning (24.50%) Fortnightly and Monthly, MySpace (24.30%) Never, Ning (23.80%) weekly.

## A Study on Social Network Sites among the Teaching Communities at Paavai College of Engineering in Namakkal Distc, Tamil Nadu

TABLE V GENDER-WISE FRIENDS LINK IN SOCIAL NETWORK SITES

Gender \ Numbers	0-50	51-100	10 - 150	151- 200	More than 200	Overall
Male	13 (8.80%)	12 (8.20%)	19 (12.90%)	33 (22.40%)	8 (5.40%)	85 (57.80%)
Female	10 (6.80%)	6 (4.10%)	18 (12.20%)	24 (16.30%)	4 (2.70%)	62 (42.20%)
Total	23 (15.60%)	18 (12.20%)	37 (25.20%)	57 (38.80%)	12 (8.20%)	147 (100.00%)

It revealed from the above table indicated that highest (38.80%) respondents have 151- 200 friends in the social network sites in engineering faculty, followed by (25.20%) respondents have 101-150 friends then (15.60%) respondents have 0-50 then (12.20%) respondents have 51-100 friends and (8.20%) of each respondents has friend more than 200 respectively.

### V. CONCLUSION

Most of the faculty indicated that video uploads and viewing is beneficial and also they have shown awareness in accessing social network sites. It supports open email, most important to enhance data innovation and transfer. The faculty agreed that SNS has used to discussions and sharing of new ideas, interaction with engineering professional, ask questions and sharing new innovating links. Some female faculty do not like social network sites because their profiles and data have been misused, this is the reason for not using social network sites.

### REFERENCES

[1] Agarwal, Shailja, and Monika Mital. "Focus on Business Practices: An Exploratory Study of Indian University Students' Use of Social Networking Web Sites: Implications for the Workplace." *Business Communication Quarterly* (2009).

[2] Babu, B. Ramesh, P. Vinayagamoorthy, and S. Gopalakrishnan. "ICT skills among librarians in engineering educational institutions in Tamil Nadu." *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology* 27, no. 6 (2007), pp. 55-64.

[3] Dwyer, Catherine, Starr Roxanne Hiltz, and Katia Passerini. "Trust and Privacy Concern within Social Networking Sites: A Comparison of Facebook and MySpace." *AMCIS*. 2007.

[4] Ellison, Nicole B. "Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship." *Journal of Computer Mediated Communication* 13.1 (2007), pp. 210-230.

[5] Haythornthwaite, Caroline. "Social networks and Internet connectivity effects." *Information, Community & Society* 8.2 (2005), pp. 125-147.

[6] Kumar, Krishna and Others (2008). A Survey of Collection Development Practices in *Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 47 Issue 1, pp75-82.

[7] Mahajan, Preeti. "Use of social networking in a linguistically and culturally rich India." *The International Information & Library Review* 41.3 (2009), pp. 129-136.

[8] Roblyer, M. D., et al. "Findings on Facebook in higher education: A comparison of college faculty and student uses and perceptions of social networking sites." *The Internet and Higher Education* 13.3 (2010), pp. 134-140.

[9] Sahu, Dr Mahendra Ku. "Information disseminating through using social networking sites among library professional in the engineering colleges of odisha: a survey." (2013), pp. 40-44.

[10] Sivankalai, S., A. Virumandi, and P. Chellapandi. "A Study of Engineering Student's Approach on Digitization With Special Reference In Academic Library." *E-library science Research Journal*.2, no. 5 (2014), p-1-6.

[11] Sivankalai, S., P. Chellapandi. "Use of Electronic Resources among the Faculty Members at Paavai." *Asia Pacific Journal of Library and Information Science* 3, no. 1 (2013): p-74.

[12] Subrahmanyam, Kaveri, et al. "Online and offline social networks: Use of social networking sites by emerging adults." *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 29.6 (2008), pp. 420-433.

[13] <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/social+network>.